

11. A real man pays and behaves like an old-fashioned gentleman.



He pays attention and he pays the bills – on first dates and beyond. He does so gracefully and confidently, without drawing attention to it. He is demonstrating that taking care of women is very important to him.....and if she does not want to work a 9-to-5 job, great! He has the resources and power to cover her needs. And while at his 9-to-5 job a real man behaves as a gentleman toward *everyone*, treating a woman the same way he treats a man - with

professionalism, grace, kindness, respect, dignity, and humility. If this does not come easily for him, he works very hard at it, practicing until it does.

He does not flaunt his success. If he has extra resources (money, in today's world), he doesn't spend frivolously. If he is poor, he feels no sense of entitlement, and works very hard to pull himself up. Regardless, he is very generous with others, but with himself he is frugal. He is humble and vigilante against the licensing effect. He saves for a rainy day, which is wise in itself, but it also sends the clear message that he knows that external future circumstances are unpredictable and largely uncontrollable, and does not pretend otherwise. He does not go into unnecessary debt and does not gamble. However, we transmit memes like "debt, leverage, is good", which have proven to make us wealthier in many cases, but more stressed, less healthy, and less free. It's nonsense.

The Trend

Surveys have been showing that more and more men want to pay "Dutch" on first dates, and increasingly are drawn to women who have high income levels. A man today is looking for a woman who not only takes care of him – cooking his meals, doing his laundry, cleaning the

house – but he prefers a woman who also has a part-time or full-time job. He wants more money, as does the woman, so this pseudo-man thinks the solution is for her to get a job, rather than to get on a strict budget and spend less. He may rationalize all this by claiming it's what women asked for with their so called "liberation" movement. This trend is a huge turn-off for women, and men too. At the same time, political correctness, which discourages the bad behaviors of false masculinity, simultaneously manages to discourage men and women from outwardly expressing sexual attraction. We are supposed to treat everyone the same, not as opposite equals, not in the natural and healthy Yin/Yang way. For many of us, the safest response is to simply and habitually suppress our sexuality until we do not actually feel it anymore. In fact, feeling *nothing*, like a robot, is acceptable and normal.

It's no wonder that studies are also showing that sperm count is decreasing among young men, that couples are having less sex, and that pregnancy is increasingly difficult to achieve when they do have sex. Of course, big-pharma has rushed in with ED pills so that people can have sex, even if they don't really feel like it, and/or if their blood vessels simply fail to function properly - in addition to providing medications and procedures to assist in getting pregnant. This trend is a combination of circumstances: the dire state of reproductive health, the growth of the medical industries, and the reduction in mutual attraction for men and women.

Wait a minute! Why aren't more people talking about this?

First of all, to repeat from Chapter 1, the modern common view of masculinity is wrong, and a truly masculine man is so rare as to be unavailable as a benchmark. There is really nothing obvious to discuss when everyone is yielding to the pressure to look and act the same. Against a blurry backdrop of only vaguely varying degrees of falsely masculine men (and women), no problem sticks out.

However, make no mistake, women are always comparing you to other men. For thousands, maybe millions, of years it has always been in a woman's best interest to do so. If a woman can trade up to a male with better skills and more resources, this would enhance the survival fitness of herself and her offspring, a strictly genetic preference that leaves women with no choice in the matter. Also, she could never be certain her male partner would come home from the hunt or the war, so it behooved her to keep looking around for a potentially necessary replacement. Women still do this today, and as always has been the case, men are in a perpetual state of competition for access to her reproductive organs; so men are naturally comparing themselves to other men as well. Since this is natural, we must ask ourselves again, is there a problem (a pattern of observations that conflict with life and liberty)? I say yes there is. Women are unhappy with the modern falsely masculine man, but she has no other choice. Men do have the ability to identify and promote masculine (Yang) behavior in themselves and in other men, to bond together in community (Yin) for the benefit of all, but they are squandering it at every opportunity. Life and liberty are the casualties.

In the meantime, the dirty secret is that a woman will continue to overlook the lack of masculinity in her man, roughly in proportion to how much money he has. Fairly intelligent, attractive, educated women often find themselves with the most obese, unhealthy, unethical, greedy, immoral men as long as he is wealthy. As Zsa Zsa Gabor said, "No rich man is ugly." The many famous examples of this arrangement, a rich man with an attractive young woman at his side, are too numerous to count, and broadly accepted as normal. Again, she is biologically wired to prefer the man with the most resources. So if you're a man, or think you're one, just remember that it could be your money that is allowing you to get away false masculinity.

Women are not likely to notice a problem here. As long as cash flows their way, they feel secure, and understandably so. When the cash runs low, that's when women have problems with, and become highly critical of, men. Her newly-awakened critical response does not disturb her intuition or conscience, since both have been conditioned in conformity to our culture's values of materialism and greed. It is a masculine man's responsibility to employ free will, rational/independent thought; and to *change his behavior to break his destructive (to life and liberty) habits*. A truly masculine man is non-conformist - possessing the rationality to recognize when intuition is mistaken, in spite of what is normal and popular. He has the capacity to cease speech and behaviors that do not value life and liberty; even though these behaviors have become intuitively acceptable and may give him more access to reproduction.

A real man never accuses a woman of being “emotional”

Accusing her of being “emotional” is to pick a fight with nature, pointless. She can’t help it, and men are to blame. It comes from his failure to pass her *tests*. It is in her best interests not only to compare her man to other men, but also to *test* her man, to see for herself how strong he is, to confirm that he can handle her emotions, to be certain that he is worthy of sex. She must know that her investment of nine months or more is a wise one. A man produces trillions of sperm, can reload quickly, and can have sex every day until he dies of old age; while a woman gets pregnant and is out of reproductive circulation for almost a year. She must be much more selective, so pushing her man’s buttons, and evaluating his reaction, provides her with information so valuable that it makes the difference between life and death for her genetic legacy, her precious fertilized eggs. When a man demonstrates that he cannot handle her emotions, she knows that he is a weak, an inferior mate. However, once again, a man can hide his lack of true masculinity behind a veneer of perceived wealth, so he will always be driven to make more and more money, or at least act like a “success”. Without real men to choose from, women are unhappy because they have no choice but to accept the weak substitute, and they don’t even realize it. Nobody does. All of these dynamics are largely unconscious and “natural”, strictly speaking; but it does not mean that they are in the best interests of life and liberty.

A real man never accuses a woman of being a “gold-digger”

Accusing her of being a “gold-digger” is to pick a fight with nature, pointless. She can’t help it, and men are to blame. As stated earlier, men full of unchecked pride and greed, have been glorifying economic/political power to the degree that it defines the value of a human – ALL humans – men and women. In addition to the aforementioned biological drives, men today have seemingly leak-tight social and political justifications to do so. Especially Americans. Owing to this mindset, we are experiencing unprecedented wealth, safety, prosperity, even luxury; and we are spreading it globally – using the strongest military ever, sacrificing American lives when “necessary” – which shows how much we care about everyone. This is the new brand of American Exceptionalism. So what’s the problem?

For decades now, a female cannot help but see herself as an underperforming version of a human, just a worthless male – as men have defined it in the false Yang environment - if she is not competing on par with men in the rat race. Competing and winning give a stronger sense of pride – and practically everyone wants to feel the pleasure of pride - than does cooperating and nurturing, and this is now true for women as well.

The corruption of masculinity and femininity accelerated during the industrial revolution, as men started leaving the family and farm to work in factories. Men earned money away from home, and women wanted a piece of the pie too. The new formula: get an education, voting rights, and get a career, earn money, and you will be happy. This additional freedom for women felt good at first, when it was still by choice. However, greed becomes pure blind materialism, which requires more and more work – not the type of work that makes a person moral or virtuous – just plain work. It was great for production and consumption, and for raising the standard of living; but the entry of women into the work force was a one-way street. Once women gained the right to vote and were working at jobs away from home, they voted in social programs to do the important work that increasingly absent fathers and mothers used to do –

like taking care of family and helping out with friends and neighbors. Social programs cost money, and the new inclusion of women in the income tax base was the way to pay for it. The rapid growth of corporations and government *necessitates* that women work. The vast majority of women today don't have the freedom to choose, and the consequent loss of liberty is stressful. In the long run this has harmed all humans – men, women, and children - and has benefitted the Adamtocracy.

A quick purview of studies over the decades show undeniably that all people - men too, but especially women – have lower health outcomes and are more stressed-out than ever. The de-valuing of femininity runs deep. We're not talking here about how to even the playing field in the game of men versus women in the rat race, which is the primary concern of modern feminism. We're talking about understanding and valuing the natural differences between masculine and feminine, and restoring balance and health. With some of Mother Nature's medicine, humility, we can investigate with open minds and hearts.